

Intend to provide platform to researchers for articulation of their research work.

To maintain utmost quality Ethos works as follows:

1. The article received goes for first editorial comments and decision taken on the appointment of referee for review.
2. At the time of editorial checking, Citations, appropriateness of format, layout, and appropriateness of title, methodology, and data are checked at this stage. Petty corrections are done at editorial editing. In case of major corrections article is send back to author with editorial comments for corrections and resubmission.
3. Suspected articles and submissions for possible publication in Ethos are checked for plagiarism and rejected if it found.
4. Ethos does not accept conceptual articles and article carrying non contemporary data.
5. Editor in Chief decides on the referee for article passed through editorial checking. General rule is article send it to referee resides at distinct location. The article sends through mail with a prescribed referee format. Article bears no identification of author on it.
6. Author is only updated on article send for peer/referee review and name of referee kept confidential.
7. The comments of referee are communicated to author. In case referee sought for revision a detailed point to point communication is made to author directing resubmission of article. The resubmitted article then again refers to the same referee for comments.
8. The acceptance, rejection and revision of article are communicated to author with reasons.
9. Editorial corrections regarding format, data testing and the like are done by Member of Editorial board.
10. Formal documents in prescribed format viz. copyright form, authors detailed information; declaration of original work from author is invited.
11. After securing the formal document the final edited article is send to press for further process.
12. Ethos attempts to maintain regular communication with authors and referee.

For Ethos
Editor in Chief